US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

11-30-90 2-24-81 Suplicate

- 1. CHEMICAL: Cypermethrin
- 2. FORMULATION: Technical
- 3. CITATION: ICI (1980) Determination of the acute toxicity of cypermethrin (pp383) to Rainbow Trout (Salmo gairdneri). MRID 000(2792)
- 4. REVIEWED BY: Thomas B. Johnston Biologist, EEB
- 5. DATE REVIEWED: February 24, 1981
- 6. TEST TYPE: Continuous flow 96-hr LC50
- 7. REPORTED RESULTS: The 96-hr LC<sub>50</sub> of cypermethrin technical to rainbow trout, as calculated from measured concentrations, was 0.92 ppb, with 95% confidence limits of 0.81 and 1.05 ppb.
- REVIEWER'S CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound, and satisfies the guideline requirement of a toxicity test using a coldwater fish. With a 96-hr LC<sub>50</sub> of 0.92 ppb, cypermethrin technical is very highly toxic to coldwater fish.

## ADDENDUM

REVIEWED BY: Ann Stavola
Adjuatic Biologist

LOGO

Adjuatic Biologist

LOGO

Adjuatic Biologist

REVIEWED BY: Ann Stavola

Adjuatic Biologist

LOGO

Adjuatic Biologist

Adjuatic Biologist

Adjuatic Biologist

LOGO

Adjuatic Biologist

Adjua

2. FORMULATION: Technical, 91.5% active ingredient

7. REPORTED RESULTS: No effect level was 0.43 ppb.

## Materials/Methods

Test Procedures - Test fish were exposed to the pesticide by use of a continuous flow-through apparatus. Test material from a stock jar was mixed with dilution water from a constant-temperature apparatus, and both were pumped into 20 litre exposure vessels. Each vessel contained 20 fish. Mortalities were recorded at 24-hr intervals for 96 hours. DMSO was used as a solvent. Each vessel was fed with the appropriate test concentration at the rate of 200 ml/mis. The system was designed to achieve a complete exchange of the test solutions within a period of 3.5 hours.

Statistical Analysis - The mortality data were analyzed by the Finney probit analysis method.

## Results/Discussion

95% Confidence Inte	ervals
$24 \text{ hr LC}_{50} = 1.78 \text{ ppb}$	
$48 \text{ hr } LC_{50} = 1.00 \qquad 0.97 - 1.25$	
$72 \text{ hr } LC_{50} = 0.96 \qquad 0.84 - 1.09$	
$96 \text{ hr } LC_{50} = 0.92 \qquad 0.81 - 105$	

All these listed  ${\rm LC}_{50}$  values were calculated using mean measured concentrations of the test substance, not nominal concentrations.

## Conclusions:

Validation Category: Core Category Rationale: N/A Category Repairability: N/A

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
0.00335	EXPOSED - 20	DEAD 20	DEAD 100	PROB. (PERCENT)536743E-05536743E-05
0.00195	20 20	20 19	100 - 5	0.002002716
0.00108	20 20	1 2 2	60 10	25.17223 0.02012253
0.00043	20	0	0	536743E-05 536743E-05
0.00025 0.00014	20 20	Ö	0	536743E-05

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 0.00055 AND 0.00149 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 0.0009559664

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 - 5 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

0.04808197 0.0008325176 0.0007260318 0.0009565194

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

0.09172139 1 0.- 307529

SLOPE = 6.-8012 -5 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 4.866153 AND -.094087

LC50 = 0.0009223139 - 5 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0.000797391 AND 0.001049235

LC10 = 0.0006066434 - 5 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0.0004653702 AND 0.0007146739